

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/848,573	05/03/2001	Cary Lee Bates	ROC920010064US1	6829
7590 02/23/2004			EXAMINER	
Gero G. McClellan			FISCHER, ANDREW J	
Thomason, Mo Suite 1500	ser & Patterson, L.L.P.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
3040 Post Oak Boulevard			3627	
Houston, TX	77056-6582		D. TE. V. V. ED. 02 192 1930	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/848,573	BATES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	T			
	Andrew J. Fischer	3627	MG,			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to be statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS are, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered time from the mailing date of this of ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_··					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). sobjected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/848,573

'Art Unit: 3627

## DETAILED ACTION

## I. Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:
  - I. Claims 1-18, drawn to a method of locating an item in a network of vending machines, classified in class 705, subclass 28.
  - II. Claims 19-22 and 48, drawn to a vending machine, classified in class 700, subclass 231.
  - III. Claims 23-34 and 45-47, drawn to a medium and system, classified in class 700, subclass 244.
  - IV. Claims 35-44, drawn to a method of requesting a refund, classified in class 705, subclass 16.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus can be used to practice a materially different invention—a vending machine with a network interface.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the search required for Group I is not required for Group. II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,573

Page 3

'Art Unit: 3627

5. Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus can be used to practice a materially different process—a process having two vending machines connected to each other.

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the search required for Group I is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as method of returning an article and receiving a refund. See MPEP §806.05(d).
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the search required for Group I is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §806.05(c)). In the instant

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/848,573

'Art Unit: 3627

case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a processor configured to receive purchase orders. The subcombination has separate utility such as vending machine simply dispensing items.

- 10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the search required for Group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 11. Inventions II and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process—a process that does not involve refunds but only dispenses items.
- 12. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the search required for Group II is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 13. Inventions III and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as

Application/Control Number: 09/848,573 Page 5

'Art Unit: 3627

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process—one that does not receive an electronic refund request.

14. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the search required for Group III is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## II. Election of Species

15. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A: Represented by Figure 1; and

Species B: Represented by Figure 2.

- 16. In addition to choosing an invention above in *I*., Applicants are also required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.
- 17. Applicants are advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added in response to this Office Action or in any future amendment. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,573 Page 6

'Art Unit: 3627

18. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. §1.141. If claims are added after the election (in response to this Office Action or in any future amendment), Applicants must indicate which newly added claims are readable upon the elected species. MPEP §809.02(a).

- 19. Should Applicants traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, Applicants should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) of the other invention.
- 20. In a telephone call between the Examiner and Gero G. McClellan on February 18, 2003,Mr. McClellan indicated that a written restriction was desired.
- 21. Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include both the chosen invention (i.e. Inventions I-IV) and an election of the species to be examined (i.e. A or B) even though the requirements are traversed (37 C.F.R. §1.143).
- 22. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. §1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/848,573

Art Unit: 3627

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew J. Fischer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0292.

- 24. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olszewski Robert can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 25. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew J. Fischer

Fischer 2/18/04

Page 7

Examiner

Art Unit 3627